

From: [Thomas, Sue](#)
To: [BrownScott, Jennifer](#)
Subject: Re: IMPORTANT: Report
Date: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 1:55:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[2019 Update WMNWRC ISI DNWR Shoreline 3 19 19.docx](#)

most recent ISI.

Sue Thomas
Wildlife Biologist
Washington Maritime NWRC
360 457 8451

From: BrownScott, Jennifer <jennifer_brownScott@fws.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2021 3:23 PM
To: Thomas, Sue <sue_thomas@fws.gov>
Subject: IMPORTANT: Report

JST is requesting a copy of our Initial Survey Instructions for our Avian Shoreline Survey. Should we get together with Kevin K. to discuss a response that includes the limitations of conclusions drawn from use of this ISI to collect data?

-jennifer

Jennifer Brown-Scott
Project Leader
Washington Maritime National Wildlife Refuge Complex
715 Holgerson Road
Sequim, WA 98382
(360) 457-8451

~~[Dungeness NWR](#)~[Protection Island NWR](#)~[San Juan Islands NWR](#)~[Copalis NWR](#)~[Flattery Rocks NWR](#)~[Quillayute Needles NWR](#)~~

From: Sutherland, Todd <todd_sutherland@fws.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2021 3:18 PM
To: BrownScott, Jennifer <jennifer_brownScott@fws.gov>
Subject: Fw: [EXTERNAL] Report

Jennifer,

FYI....you may be getting contacted by tribal biologist as they wanted access to one of your ServCat internal documents related to an Avian Shoreline Survey. I will forward the message I sent her.

Have a good weekend.

From: Stockenberg, Erin <erin_stockenberg@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:38 PM
To: Sutherland, Todd <todd_sutherland@fws.gov>
Cc: Craver, Dan <dan_craver@fws.gov>; Kilbride, kilb <kevin_kilbride@fws.gov>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Report

Please forward the request to Jennifer Brown-Scott, the manager. This could be related to a very sensitive topic that they have been dealing with. I can't make the determination to override how they are setting permissions for their station's ISI.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Sutherland, Todd <todd_sutherland@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:46:06 PM
To: Stockenberg, Erin <erin_stockenberg@fws.gov>
Cc: Craver, Dan <dan_craver@fws.gov>
Subject: Fw: [EXTERNAL] Report

Erin,

There is a request for a document in which you are listed as one of the owners. Please let the requestor know whether she can have access.

<https://ecos.fws.gov/ServCat/Reference/Profile/57991>

Thanks!

From: Frakes, Brent J <brent_frakes@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 5:37 PM
To: Sutherland, Todd <todd_sutherland@fws.gov>
Subject: Fw: [EXTERNAL] Report

From: Sissi Bruch <sbruch@jamestowntribe.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:18 PM
To: Frakes, Brent J <brent_frakes@fws.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Report

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hi Brent,

I'm trying to find a copy of the Dungeness NWR: Initial Survey Instructions for Avian Shoreline Survey. Apparently it was updated in 2020. If you could send me this document, I would appreciate it. The Tribe has a project on the DNR tidelands within the Dungeness Refuge and I'm trying to find as much information as possible.

Thanks,

Sissi

Sissi P. Bruch, PhD
Environmental Planning Biologist
Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe
360-461-3006
sbruch@jamestowntribe.org

Home Search Reports

Standard Operating Procedure - (Code: 57991)

Title Dungeness NWR: Initial Survey Instructions for Avian Shoreline Survey

Description The U.S. Coast Guard determined that Dungeness Spit is one of the top five high-risk areas in the U.S. for oil spill events due, in part, to its prominent location within the Strait of Juan de Fuca and proximity to the high level of shipping traffic within the Salish Sea (Melvin et.al. 2001). Approximately 17 billion gallons of oil are shipped through the Strait of Juan de Fuca each year on over 1,000 tankers; any spill from these tankers could potentially be devastating to refuge wildlife and habitats. In fact, predominantly westerly currents have transported oil and/or oiled birds to the Refuge during the most recent spill in Port Angeles Harbor from the T/V Arco Anchorage in 1985. The Avian Shoreline Survey is designed to monitor abundance and distribution of shorebirds, waterbirds, and waterfowl along both sides of Dungeness Spit during the nonbreeding season. The primary objective is to provide updated baseline data that may be needed to support Natural Resource Damage Assessment mitigation and restoration actions. In addition, it provides information that guides management of areas closed to public use on the Refuge. This survey builds on legacy data from surveys conducted during the nonbreeding season, after the Arco spill, in the late 1980s. The basic protocol follows that of the Puget Sound Seabird Survey with a few key exceptions (i.e. surveys at mid tide and multiple survey points) that make surveys feasible on DNWR due to access restrictions and encompass high-and low-use areas. Results from this survey will provide a broader scope of inference when combined with the Midwinter Waterfowl Survey on DNWR by expanding the focus of that survey to all overwintering birds using nearshore habitats during the winter months. It is considered a Tier 2 priority because it helps to assess progress toward meeting management goals in closed areas of the Refuge as well as rapid response to oil spills but does not target specific species for use in condition assessments or trend analysis...less

Full Citation Thomas S. 2016. Dungeness NWR: Initial Survey Instructions for Avian Shoreline Survey

Visibility Public

[click here for less info](#)

Files and Links (1)

| Type | Download Link | Description |
|--------------|---|--|
| Digital File | Final_WMNWRC_ISI_Avian_Shoreline_Survey.pdf | Initial Survey Instructions for Avian Shoreline Survey |

Originating Project

Core Info Permissions Orgs and Geography Subjects and Keywords Taxonomy History

Reference Access Level: Public
File Access Level: Internal
Sensitivity: Not Sensitive

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Proprietary/Copyright Designation | No Restriction - The information is neither copyrighted nor has any other use restrictions related to it being intellectual property. For that reason, this information may be distributed to the FWS and public. |
| Quality | Unknown - The quality of the information is unknown or unevaluated. |
| Additional Use Constraints | - - |
| Data Stewards Permission to Edit | Yes |
| Reference Owners | FWS Staff |
| Permission to Download Files | FWS Staff |

Standard Operating Procedure - (Code: 57991)

Title Dungeness NWR: Initial Survey Instructions for Avian Shoreline Survey

Description The U.S. Coast Guard determined that Dungeness Spit is one of the top five high-risk areas in the U.S. for oil spill events due, in part, to its prominent location within the Strait of Juan de Fuca and proximity to the high level of shipping traffic within the Salish Sea (Melvin et.al. 2001). Approximately 17 billion gallons of oil are shipped through the Strait of Juan de Fuca each year on over 1,000 tankers; any spill from these tankers could potentially be devastating to refuge wildlife and habitats. In fact, predominantly westerly currents have transported oil and/or oiled birds to the Refuge during the most recent spill in Port Angeles Harbor from the T/V Arco Anchorage in 1985. The Avian Shoreline Survey is designed to monitor abundance and distribution of shorebirds, waterbirds, and waterfowl along both sides of Dungeness Spit during the nonbreeding season. The primary objective is to provide updated baseline data that may be needed to support Natural Resource Damage Assessment mitigation and restoration actions. In addition, it provides information that guides management of areas closed to public use on the Refuge. This survey builds on legacy data from surveys conducted during the nonbreeding season, after the Arco spill, in the late 1980s. The basic protocol follows that of the Puget Sound Seabird Survey with a few key exceptions (i.e. surveys at mid tide and multiple survey points) that make surveys feasible on DNWR due to access restrictions and encompass high-and low-use areas. Results from this survey will provide a broader scope of inference when combined with the Midwinter Waterfowl Survey on DNWR by expanding the focus of that survey to all overwintering birds using nearshore habitats during the winter months. It is considered a Tier 2 priority because it helps to assess progress toward meeting management goals in closed areas of the Refuge as well as rapid response to oil spills but does not target specific species for use in condition assessments or trend analysis...less

Full Citation Thomas S. 2016. Dungeness NWR: Initial Survey Instructions for Avian Shoreline Survey

Visibility Public

[click here for less info](#)

Files and Links (1)

| Type | Download Link | Description |
|---|---|--|
|  Digital File | Final_WMNRWC_ISI_Avian_Shoreline_Survey.pdf | Initial Survey Instructions for Avian Shoreline Survey |

Originating Project

[Core Info](#)
[Permissions](#)
[Orgs and Geography](#)
[Subjects and Keywords](#)
[Taxonomy](#)
[History](#)

Reference Access Level **Public**

File Access Level **Internal**

Sensitivity **Not Sensitive**

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Proprietary/Copyright Designation | No Restriction - The information is neither copyrighted nor has any other use restrictions related to it being intellectual property. For that reason, this information may be distributed to the FWS and public. |
| Quality | Unknown - The quality of the information is unknown or unevaluated. |
| Additional Use Constraints | -- |
| Data Stewards Permission to Edit | Yes |
| Reference Owners | FWS Staff |
| Permission to Download Files | FWS Staff |



U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
WASHINGTON MARITIME NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE COMPLEX -
Refuge Specific Initial Survey Instructions
3/19/19 update



DNWR Shoreline Survey (T2)

Refuge(s): Dungeness NWR

Primary Refuge Staff Contact Information: Sue Thomas, Wildlife Biologist, Sue_Thomas@fws.gov, 360-457-8451

Background: According to the U.S. Coast Guard, Dungeness Spit (Spit) is one of the top five high-risk areas in the U.S. for oil spill events due, in part, to its prominent location within the Strait of Juan de Fuca and proximity to the high level of shipping traffic within the Salish Sea (Krusmann 2001). Approximately 17 billion gallons of oil are shipped through the Strait of Juan de Fuca each year in over 1,000 tankers; any spill from these tankers could potentially be devastating to refuge wildlife and habitats. In fact, predominantly westerly currents transported oil and/or oiled birds to the Refuge during the most recent spill in Port Angeles Harbor from the T/V Arco Anchorage in 1985.

Various surveys have been conducted within the nearshore habitat of DNWR. Those include the Marine Ecosystems Analysis (MESA) surveys conducted from 1978-1979, staff surveys conducted between 1977 and 1994 and the Puget Sound Seabird Survey (PSSS) in 2014. However, the PSSS consistently under-represents diversity and abundance of overwintering wildlife on DNWR because the PSSS defined a survey window of 4 hours around high tide. Yet the Spit is essentially inaccessible at tidal levels above 6'. In addition, it identified three survey points on DNWR near the base of the Spit which do not accurately represent diversity or abundance of most species that use the nearshore habitats on the Refuge. Finally, the PSSS focuses on seabirds while this survey is designed to collect data on all birds and marine mammals using the nearshore habitat around the refuge. While staff surveys conducted between 1977-1994 were more representative of the abundance and diversity of wildlife on the refuge, the methodology was not recorded and cannot be repeated.

Survey Objective(s): The objective of this survey effort is to collect baseline data on marine wildlife use of Dungeness NWR from October through April for use in marine spatial planning and to support Natural Resource Damage Assessment mitigation and restoration actions. This survey effort helps to meet two objectives within the Dungeness NWR CCP: 2.3 Protect and maintain barrier lagoons and mudflats of Dungeness Harbor and Dungeness Bay and 2.2 Protect and maintain the barrier beaches on Dungeness and Graveyard spits by providing current data for rapid response in the event of an oil spill (USFWS 2013). Results from this survey will provide a broader scope of inference when combined with the Midwinter Waterfowl Survey on DNWR by expanding the focus of that survey to all overwintering birds using nearshore habitats during the nonbreeding period. In addition, it provides data for use in assessing effects of the public use closure in these habitats.

Survey Design: This is a point count survey conducted once per month from October – April. Survey points are located at 1-mile increments which correspond to established mile marker (MM) signs 1-4, as well as at one point at the base of the trail (MM0) and one point 0.8 miles east of MM4 (MM5; see coordinates below). In order to limit human disturbance on this refuge, the survey point at MM5 is located

in a closed area yet out of sight of a known marine mammal haul out. No other closed areas are included in this survey effort. It is highly recommended that the surveyor have a GPS unit available to accurately locate the “MM5” point as well as other mm in which signs may have been washed away.

| Observation Points | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---|
| Survey Point | Latitude | Longitude | Areas |
| MM5 | 48.183800 | -123.105360 | Strait only; @ 4.8 mi, shy of tip to reduce disturbance to haul out |
| MM4 | 48.177850 | -123.123680 | Strait & Bay |
| MM3 | 48.175358 | -123.145243 | Strait & Harbor |
| MM2 | 48.167350 | -123.160790 | Strait & Harbor |
| MM1 | 48.156790 | -123.175060 | Strait & Harbor |
| MM0 | 48.145790 | -123.187680 | Strait & Harbor |
| ToDS | 48.183992 | -123.100301 | Strait & Harbor |

| Survey Areas | | |
|---------------------|---|----------------|
| Name | Area | Acreage |
| MM0 | Harbor | 8.2 |
| MM0 | Strait & Harbor/Lagoon | 34.9 |
| MM1 | Harbor | 36.1 |
| MM1 | Strait | 33.8 |
| MM2 | Harbor | 36.1 |
| MM2 | Strait | 33.8 |
| MM3 | Harbor | 36.3 |
| MM3 | Strait | 33.6 |
| MM4 | Bay | 36.6 |
| MM4 | Strait | 33.2 |
| MM5 | Strait; point at 4.8 mi, shy of tip to reduce disturbance to haul out | 36.3 |
| ToDS | Strait and Bay | 63.1 |


Survey Methods: The survey follows the methodology developed for the PSSS with a few basic exceptions (conducted at every mm along the Spit and within a tidal range of 2.5-6’).

Survey points are divided into Strait or Bay/Harbor areas following the table below. The Strait and Bay/Harbor side of each mm are surveyed separately to reduce the potential to miss a bird landing in the survey area or under water. A primary observer counts wildlife within a 300 m radius of the point, including all birds or marine mammals on land and in the water, for a maximum of 15 minutes each (i.e. 30 minutes and MM 4 = 15 minutes on the Strait and 15 minutes on the Bay side). Since birds in flight cannot necessarily be attributed to use of the land or water adjacent to the Refuge, do not spend time counting them unless they land in the current survey area. If a dedicated recorder is available, they should try to keep track of movement in the larger (Bay/Harbor area) noting approximate number, species, time and direction flown. This can then help reconciled birds already counted in one area that have flown to an adjacent, uncounted area.

This effort will be conducted once per month when the tidal window allows access to the Spit for 4 hours during the day. Given the restriction on access, the best survey conditions occur during an incoming tide

between 2-5.5/6'. Optimally, surveyors will begin at MM5 on an incoming tide and work toward the base of the spit so that if the water levels are higher than expected, they will not be stranded. To avoid double counts or missed individuals, one primary observer is responsible for the count, while the secondary observer will record data and alert the primary observer to movements in to or out of the radius of the count circle. Or, if time and optimum tidal conditions are limited, two observers could survey the count circle together with each taking a side (Strait or Bay/Harbor) and acting as their own recorders.

Each observer should familiarized themselves with 300m distance on shore using a measuring tape and/or selected permanent markers (i.e. Refuge sign and piling) to visually mark 300m prior to participating in the survey for the first time. This distance estimation can be tricky to remember every month, especially over the open water. It is highly recommended to recalibrate your visual estimation of 300m using a measuring tape or pre-established permanent markers. A 50m measuring tape is available from the office for this use.



If at all possible, surveyors should use a pair of binoculars of 8-10x or better along with a spotting scope of 20X or better to positively identify similar species at the extent of the 300m radius.

Every effort should be made to avoid double counting:

- Whenever possible, birds should be differentiated by distinguishing features and location.
 - Distance from shore or other marker and direction of drift/movement
 - Group size, species richness
 - Proportion of adults vs juveniles
- If a movement of birds occurred, only those birds within 300m should be recorded and only if the observer was reasonably certain that they were not counted previously.
- Wait to record birds in flight until after the survey period and only record them below the count totals on the spreadsheet.

In addition, record the following survey conditions were recorded for the entire survey period (not each point):

- Weather: Clear, Partly Cloudy, Overcast, Fog
- Precipitation: Rain, Snow, None
- Sea State: Calm, Rippled, Choppy, Wavy, Rough
- Beaufort Wind Scale
- Visibility: Excellent - able to count and id all; Good - able to count and accurately id most except for large flocks, birds at limit of range or similar species; Poor - unable to id or count accurately.
 - o Reason: Glare, Sea State, Weather, Other _____
- Tidal Movement: Low, Low Raising, Low Falling, Mid, Mid Raising (see figure below)
- Disturbance: List disturbance factors noted (e.g. walkers, power boat, kayak or hunting raptors).
- Effects of Disturbance: Provide a very general description of the response from the majority of the birds to the disturbance (e.g. none; birds move away or flush gradually; flush and return).
- Conditions prior to survey that may have affected results: Note passage of storms prior to survey that may have altered abundance (ice storm; wind storm; 4-day storm).


It can be difficult to see birds in rough, stormy waters. If possible, reschedule your survey effort to another day.

Figure. Beaufort Wind Scale

| Force | Speed | | | Name | Conditions at Sea | Conditions on Land |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|--|---|
| | knots | km/h | mi/h | | | |
| 0 | < 1 | < 2 | < 1 | Calm | Sea like a mirror. | Smoke rises vertically. |
| 1 | 1-3 | 1-5 | 1-4 | Light air | Ripples only. | Smoke drifts and leaves rustle. |
| 2 | 4-6 | 6-11 | 5-7 | Light breeze | Small wavelets (0.2 m). Crests have a glassy appearance. | Wind felt on face. |
| 3 | 7-10 | 12-19 | 8-11 | Gentle breeze | Large wavelets (0.6 m), crests begin to break. | Flags extended, leaves move. |
| 4 | 11-16 | 20-29 | 12-18 | Moderate breeze | Small waves (1 m), some whitecaps. | Dust and small branches move. |
| 5 | 17-21 | 30-39 | 19-24 | Fresh breeze | Moderate waves (1.8 m), many whitecaps. | Small trees begin to sway. |
| 6 | 22-27 | 40-50 | 25-31 | Strong breeze | Large waves (3 m), probably some spray. | Large branches move, wires whistle, umbrellas are difficult to control. |
| 7 | 28-33 | 51-61 | 32-38 | Near gale | Mounting sea (4 m) with foam blown in streaks downwind. | Whole trees in motion, inconvenience in walking. |
| 8 | 34-40 | 62-74 | 39-46 | Gale | Moderately high waves (5.5 m), crests break into spindrift. | Difficult to walk against wind. Twigs and small branches blown off trees. |
| 9 | 41-47 | 76-87 | 47-54 | Strong gale | High waves (7 m), dense foam, visibility affected. | Minor structural damage may occur (shingles blown off roofs). |
| 10 | 48-55 | 88-102 | 55-63 | Storm | Very high waves (9 m), heavy sea roll, visibility impaired. Surface generally white. | Trees uprooted, structural damage likely. |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------|---------|-------|---------------|---|---|
| 11 | 56-63 | 103-118 | 64-73 | Violent storm | Exceptionally high waves (11 m), visibility poor. | Widespread damage to structures. |
| 12 | 64+ | 119+ | 74+ | Hurricane | 14 m waves, air filled with foam and spray, visibility bad. | Severe structural damage to buildings, wide spread devastation. |

Figure. Tidal stage chart used for the DNWR Avian Shoreline Survey.



Personnel: Sue Thomas, Wildlife Biologist, coordinates the survey and refuge volunteer involvement, serves as the primary observer, compiles and processes data. Refuge volunteers help conduct the survey as secondary observers. Roughly 2/3rds of early surveys conducted by Refuge volunteers & OPAS members.

Data Management and Analysis: Metrics: Index counts of all shorebird, waterbird, waterfowl, avian predators and marine mammal species within the surveyed areas on DNWR barrier beach and mudflat habitats, including open water within a 300m radius. Data are processed in an Excel database and saved to the main server for the Complex office. This server is backed up monthly to an external hard drive.

Other Important Information:

<http://www.seattleaudubon.org/sas/WhatWeDo/Science/CitizenScience/PugetSoundSeabirdSurvey.aspx>

Equipment:

- Protocol & Survey Area Map(s)
- Datasheets & clip board with elastic band to hold down data sheets in the wind
- Pencils or Permanent Ink Pen
- Binoculars, Scope and tripod
- GPS and/or measuring tape
- Tally Counter
- Watch
- Sunscreen
- Water
- Field guide
- Gloves, hat, hand warmers

Krusmann, J. 2001. Final CAP report for the Dungeness National Wildlife Refuge. Sequim, Washington: USDI Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpublished report. 10 p.

